Investigating the vision of AI driven website builder in user interface components

Investigando la visión del creador de sitios web impulsado por IA en los componentes de la interfaz de usuario

Borovynska Yuliia[1], Vovk Oleksandr[2]
[1,2] Department of Media Systems and Technologies, Kharkiv National University of Radio Electronics
Yuliia.borovynska@nure.ua [1], oleksandr.vovk@nure.ua[2]

Abstract

This study investigates the transformative potential of AI-driven website builders in revolutionizing the creation and optimization of user interface (UI) components. Through a systematic exploration of AI-based prompts, the study scrutinizes the efficacy of these platforms in generating UI elements across varying levels of complexity. Seven distinct UI components, including dropdowns, checkboxes, and alerts, were subjected to rigorous scrutiny through prompts issued to an AI-driven website builder. The results shed light on the AI's ability to comprehend and execute user queries, revealing nuanced insights into its proficiency in generating relevant and accurate UI elements. While the AI demonstrated commendable performance in handling complex queries, challenges emerged in achieving precision with simpler components. The findings underscore the importance of continued refinement and enhancement of AI algorithms to ensure accuracy and relevance in UI component generation, thus contributing to the ongoing evolution of web development practices.

Keywords: User Interface Components, Artificial Intelligence, Website Builder, Uizard, UI Glossary, Autodesigner, Input UI Elements.

Introduction

In the rapidly evolving landscape of web development, the infusion of artificial intelligence (AI) has heralded a paradigm shift in the creation and refinement of user interfaces (UIs). AI-driven website builders represent a cutting-edge innovation, boasting the potential to streamline workflows and deliver dynamic user experiences. This article delves into the vision concept of AI website builders, delving into the complexities of generating UI components through AI-driven prompts.

User interface (UI) elements form the foundational pillars of digital product architecture, facilitating user interaction and shaping overall user experience (UX). Ranging from ubiquitous features like buttons and scrollbars to nuanced elements such as menu items and checkboxes, these components serve as pivotal touchpoints within the interface, guiding users through navigation and enhancing usability. Beyond their visual appeal, UI elements embody the structural bedrock upon which user-centric design principles are built.

Main purpose and objectives of the work

The main goal is to investigate the process of designing web sites using artificial intelligence in the user interface.

In order to achieve the objective, the following tasks need to be accomplished: explore the efficacy of artificial intelligence in generating user interface components; examine the functionalities of the Autodesigner 1.5 feature within the Uizard website builder; evaluate the quality of UI elements produced by the Uizard website builder; assess the outcomes derived from the investigation.

The efficacy of artificial intelligence in generating user interface components

In the realm of UI design, practitioners aspire to craft a cohesive visual language that fosters consistency and intuitiveness across digital platforms. Strategic deployment of interface elements engenders an environment wherein users can seamlessly engage with a product, devoid of cognitive strain or ambiguity. This emphasis on clarity underscores the pivotal role of UI elements in facilitating effective communication between users and digital interfaces.

Despite the proficiency of UI designers and developers in crafting user-centric designs, the process often entails leveraging existing repositories of UI elements and components. Rather than embarking on a process of reinvention, designers harness pre-existing UI resources, complemented by code, to expedite development and ensure adherence to established design conventions. This pragmatic approach underscores the interplay between design innovation and practical implementation within the realm of UI element utilization.

Concurrently, a proliferation of website builders equipped with AI capabilities has emerged. These platforms promise a transformative shift in the creation of UI components, representing a departure from conventional design methodologies. Instead, they offer an innovative approach characterized by the automated generation and optimization of user interface elements, heralding a new era in web development practices.

In our quest to explore the possibilities of artificial intelligence in the paradigms of creating and understanding user interface components, the Uizard website builder serves as a platform for UI/UX design. Uizard is a fast, AI-powered UI design tool that can be used to create wireframes, mockups, and prototypes in minutes (Toolpilot). It offers a wide range of intelligent features that allow users to turn hand-drawn sketches into wireframes and wireframes into prototypes in just a few clicks.

This website builder stands out for its commitment to democratisation by eliminating the need for users to have prior design experience. Using artificial intelligence technology, Uizard reduces the need for advanced design skills by offering people of all skill levels a library of pre-designed templates and interface components. The extensive library of pre-made design templates and drag-and-drop UI components improves the design experience, simplifies prototyping, and creates intuitive navigation.

Uizard has a design tool that offers users to scan hand-drawn sketches and automatically transform them into beautiful designs using artificial intelligence features. The designer also provides an option to upload a reference screenshot, and AI Design Assistant will automatically create a unique UX design style.

At the core of Uizard's appeal is its intuitive interface, which is synergistically complemented by a huge repository of ready-made templates and interface elements. This combination of user-friendly functionality and universal adaptability speeds up the prototyping process while maintaining meticulous accuracy and aesthetic integrity.

Another key feature of Uizard is its transformative potential in UI/UX design. Features such as Autodesigner 1.5, powered by artificial intelligence, allow users to create screens from text prompts and then modify them (Toolpilot). The service can also be used to regenerate certain existing pages with new prompts and settings. Autodesigner 1.5 with prompts is currently available only in beta, but it offers a fairly wide range of generated screens for each prompt. Autodesigner 1.5 focuses on creating any complexity of multi-screen layouts with different interface elements with clear and precise prompts, providing users with many alternative design options. Given all these facts, Autodesigner 1.5 was chosen for an in-depth study of AI vision of user interface components.

Understanding the contextual nuances associated with UI elements is of paramount importance for effective design implementation, so a number of user experience components were selected to test the Autodesigner 1.5 feature from Uizard.

The functionalities of the Autodesigner 1.5 feature within the Uizard website builder

Interface components can be divided into three main types: input elements, output elements, and helper elements (UXPin, 2024).

Input elements. These components facilitate user interaction by requiring information input or by guiding users to the next steps in the interface. In particular, input elements often play a crucial role in data validation processes, ensuring data integrity and guiding the user through the entire interaction.

For this study, we considered dropdowns, checkboxes, and radio buttons. Dropdowns are UI controls that allow users to select a single option from a menu that appears when they click or hover the mouse. They are a space-saving alternative to radio buttons and should include a caption for a better user experience. Checkboxes allow the user to select or deselect options and are often used for multiple choices. Radio buttons allow you to select one option from a set, which is often the case when selecting gender, for example (De La Riva, M., 2023).

Output elements. These components responsible for presenting results based on user input or conveying informational messages such as alerts, warnings, successes, and errors. Output elements serve to enrich the user experience by providing relevant feedback and guidance.

The research covered UI output elements such as, alerts, and charts. Alerts interface elements provide concise messages that attract attention. Charts visually represent complex data sets (UXPin, 2024).

Helper elements. By performing supportive functions in the interface, helper elements assist in navigation, information dissemination, and content organisation. This category includes navigation tools, tooltips, and containerised elements that collectively contribute to a seamless user experience and understanding.

This study examines the navigation sidebar and cards. The sidebar is a navigation tool commonly used in SaaS products that optimises space allocation and increases navigation efficiency by placing numerous top-level categories, thus improving the user experience.

A card is an interface component that represents content and actions related to a single topic, helping to organise information efficiently. Cards increase visual appeal, improve accessibility and organisation of information, simplify navigation with clickable elements, and provide adaptability to different screen sizes and devices.

The quality of UI elements produced by the Uizard website builder

In order to assess the capabilities of the Uizard website builder's Autodesigner 1.5 feature, which employs artificial intelligence in the creation of user interface (UI) components, a systematic examination was conducted encompassing both simple and intricate UI elements to evaluate the depth of query interpretation. A selection of seven distinct components was scrutinized: dropdowns, checkboxes, radio buttons (input elements), alerts, charts (output elements), a sidebar, and cards (helper elements). The focus was primarily on desktop versions of two websites dedicated to monitoring chocolate purchases and cyber risk consulting services. To elucidate the platform's potential, concise directives were provided to Autodesigner 1.5, prompting the generation of specific elements tailored to various website themes. Subsequently, the tool produced five variants of web pages featuring these elements.

The first inquiry aimed at assessing the comprehension of dropdown elements. This query stipulated clear requirements, including the display of various states such as activated, hovered, and selected. The artificial intelligence processing, facilitated by Autodesigner 1.5, consumed approximately 45 seconds and yielded five pattern options. Notably, only two out of the five options incorporated collapsed dropdowns, indicating a rudimentary grasp of the element's appearance, albeit devoid of any generated states. Furthermore, three proposed screens featured standard input fields and radio buttons instead of the specified dropdowns.

Subsequent to the dropdown evaluation, the assessment turned to Checkboxes. Autodesigner 1.5 promptly provided five screen variants featuring this element. Notably, one screen exclusively displayed unselected Checkboxes alongside label options, while the remaining screens showcased registration forms with varying additional elements, such as a stepper element or input fields and Radio buttons. This evaluation underscored an 80% randomness in screen generation, with only a 20% alignment with user input.

The third inquiry involved the generation of Radio buttons. Once again, Autodesigner 1.5 swiftly generated five responses. However, four of the responses predominantly featured pre-existing pages for dropdowns and checkboxes, while only one screen accurately depicted Radio buttons alongside accompanying text. This observation suggests that Autodesigner 1.5 primarily relies on predefined templates, with only a fraction (20%-40%) of screens directly aligned with user specifications.

Subsequent queries focused on output elements, specifically alerts and Charts. When tasked with generating alerts, Autodesigner 1.5 demonstrated enhanced performance, presenting five screen options, one of which integrated all three specified alert types along with corresponding icons and a close functionality. This marked a notable improvement, with 4 out of 5 screens accurately reflecting the defined alert requirements.

Similarly, when prompted to generate Charts, Autodesigner 1.5 faced processing challenges, requiring approximately 90 seconds to generate responses. Despite the absence of specific Chart types in the prompt, all five options presented included at least one Chart element. Notably, the absence of specific placement instructions resulted in varied Chart placements across the screens, highlighting a nuanced understanding of design requirements.

The final two inquiries targeted the generation of helper elements, namely sidebars and cards. Autodesigner 1.5 exhibited a prolonged processing time of approximately 120 seconds for both queries, indicative of the complexity associated with these elements. Notably, in the sidebar query, the tool showcased five diverse screen variants featuring expanded, collapsed, and icon-only sidebars, along with varying menu item arrangements. This demonstrated a nuanced understanding of sidebar patterns and functionalities.

Conversely, in the card design query, Autodesigner 1.5 produced distinct layouts featuring different arrangements of titles, buttons, images, icons, and captions. While all screens adhered to commonly accepted design norms, variations in grouping and content placement were evident. A subsequent repetition of the card design query underscored the tool's limitations in generating novel creative approaches, albeit demonstrating an understanding of site-specific themes and content.

The outcomes derived from the investigation

The investigation illuminates the AI's capability to interpret prompts of varying complexity and generate interface components and screens. While the study showcased the AI's proficiency in processing more intricate elements like alerts and charts, simpler components such as radio buttons and checkboxes posed challenges, with the AI achieving only around 30% accuracy. However, the AI demonstrated significant improvement in generating sidebars and cards, suggesting a nuanced understanding of complex queries. Despite this, the relevance and accuracy of the generated solutions remain questionable. Notably, the AI appeared to fare better with complex queries, hinting at potential reliance on pre-prepared templates. Thus, while the AI-driven website builder exhibits promise in its ability to understand prompts and generate solutions, further refinement is necessary to ensure consistent accuracy across all interface elements.

Conclusion

The vision of an AI-driven website builder in user interface components is promising, evidenced by its capacity to process prompts and generate interface elements. However, the experiment underscores the need for improvement, particularly in achieving higher accuracy, especially with simpler components. The AI's performance varied across different levels of complexity, indicating the existence of potential limitations in its adaptability and understanding. Therefore, while the AI has shown potential in handling complex queries, its efficacy in generating relevant and accurate solutions for all interface components remains to be optimized. Future advancements in AI technology and algorithm refinement may address these challenges, paving the way for more robust and reliable AI-driven website builders in the future.

References

Toolpilot. (n. d.). Uizard Review: An In-Depth Overview. https://www.toolpilot.ai/products/uizard.

UXPin. (2024). User Interface Elements Every Designer Should Know. https://www.uxpin.com/studio/blog/user-interface-elements-every-designer-should-know/.

De La Riva, M. (2023). UI Elements For UI Designers in 2024. https://careerfoundry.com/en/blog/ui-design/ui-element-glossary/.